

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B1	Hurn	Again too many councillors. The BCP amalgamation was supposed to cut waste.		Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
B2	Hurn	Parish Councils are an unnecessary extra level of burocracy costing additional precepts to local people when the parish council does not have skilledexpertise to carry out duties that should be carried out by competent and trained staff. The environment is a good example. E.g. A parish council could never afford to have skilled expertise in environmental matters - something which is a core responsibility of the Unitary Authority.		Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
B3	Hurn	(a), (c) to (f): present arrangement works exceptionally well. Well-attended meetings. Hurn Neighbourhood Plan a recent success	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 2
B4	Hurn	a	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B5	Hurn	a,b,c Hurn parish council should continue as it is if the residents want this, but there should not be new councils set up at extra cost, where there would be no benefit & possible increase in council tax	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
B6	Hurn	Agree with the recommendations. This is change for change's sake. The 5 anonymous residents don't live in this and I fail to see why the views of 5 should override those of the majority in these boroughs. The future of Hurn area is at risk - the dreadful housing development at Parley Cross is evidence of that.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
B7	Hurn	As this parish includes the airport as well as more rural areas, having a parish council seems to make sense. In relation to (b), provided the land is publicly owned, the change also makes sense. In relation to (f), the number of councillors seems high in proportion to the population. In general, if local government overheads could be reduced then the funds could be directed towards improving the declining public services in the BCP area.	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
B8	Hurn	Do not need lots of levels of local government, waste of money	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B9	Hurn	f Seems rather a lot of councillors for the population.	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
B10	Hurn	Hurn area has grown in population and Boundaries expanded	Agree	Highcliffe & Walkford	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
B11	Hurn	Hurn is clearly a sepatate semi-rural community within BCP and should be allowed to continue with their own parish council.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
B12	Hurn	I am very much infavour of Parish Councils and would favour more being created.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B13	Hurn	No case has been made to make any changes. Hurn has a strong local and historical identity and nothing should be done to harm these.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
B14	Hurn	No comment	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
B15	Hurn	No need to expand or move any boundaries	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
B16	Hurn	This is a Parish council already in place - it seems overkill for just 594 residents , but I dont think it should be abolished just because of that. The boundary changes make soem logical sense , especially as it does not affect any residents of either parish	Agree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Cliffs	BH13 6

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B17	Hurn	This is an area where historically the residents have been served well by the council and there's no need for change	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
B18	Hurn	truly local representation is essential, particularly with the airport being in Hurn and local people need to have their say on its expansion and public transport	Agree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
B19	Hurn	we have enough council governance as a tri borough council (BCP) and do not need another level of governance.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH6 5
B20	Hurn	Why change for change's sake??	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B21	Hurn	6 parish councillors for 99 people each - really is ridiculous	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
B22	Hurn	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
B23	Hurn	a) and d) I don't believe we need an extra layer of admin and bureaucracy. I don't see a benefit to residents in establishing parish councils but I do see that there will be additional costs to residents, and BCP councillors will be less accountable for maintaining the separate local areas, and will pass the buck to parish councils for issues it finds too expensive or too difficult to deal with. What was the point in amalgamating the town councils into BCP if only to create a new sub-layer of councillors? I thought the larger council was meant to benefit from economies of scale, better purchasing power and reduced admin and bureaucracy. The creation of parish councils undermines the arguments for the creation of BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
B24	Hurn	a) Disagree with an extra tier of Local Government having had reorgansiations in 1997 and 2019. b) Extra tiers of Authority results in additional bureaucracy, duplication and cost. c) This leads to residents effectively paying twice for the same services d) Changes should involve a whole authority referendum not rely on Councillor decisions e) The total cost of local government can be expected to be higher in authorities with the additional tier of Town/Parish Councils f) Having sought to delayer local authority provision in 1997 and 2019 within BCP localities, for economy and efficiency reasons, the logical approach is to delayerr not create additional layers of local authority	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B25	Hurn	a) I think it unnecessary having the parish but it is not really for me to say if the residents want a parish then is up to then.	Disagree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
B26	Hurn	a) it's insane that an area with barely any population requires it's own parish. f) the ratio of electors to councillors is ridiculous compared to almost any other parish	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B27	Hurn	<p>a) The parish of Hurn should not be abolished I disagree with this recommendation. Given the extremely small population and limited geographic area, maintaining a separate parish structure for Hurn does not represent good value for money. The administrative and financial overheads of sustaining a parish council for such a small area cannot be justified when the same local representation and services could be more efficiently managed through integration with a neighbouring parish.</p> <p>b) The boundary of the existing parish of Hurn be altered as shown above I disagree with altering the boundary. Rather than redrawing boundaries to preserve the parish, it would be more appropriate to consider a full integration of Hurn into an adjacent parish, which would allow for better resource use and more efficient governance across a broader area.</p> <p>c) The name of the parish of Hurn should not be altered I disagree with retaining the name, as this implies continued independence of the parish. If the parish were merged or restructured as part of a larger entity, the name should reflect the new, more inclusive governance structure, which would better represent the broader community.</p> <p>d) The parish should continue to have a parish council I strongly disagree. A separate parish council for Hurn is not proportionate to the size and needs of the community. It results in duplication of effort, unnecessary administrative costs, and a fragmented approach to local governance. A merger with a nearby parish council would lead to improved representation, more robust service delivery, and reduced costs.</p> <p>e) The name of the parish council should not be altered I disagree with this recommendation. Retaining the existing name suggests ongoing independence and separation. If the parish were to be dissolved or merged, the name should be reconsidered to reflect any new structure.</p> <p>f) The parish council for Hurn shall consist of six councillors I strongly disagree. Appointing six councillors to represent such a small population is disproportionate and does not reflect an efficient or necessary use of public resources. This structure lacks scalability and contributes to the cost inefficiency of maintaining a standalone council.</p>	Disagree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
B28	Hurn	a) to f) (all) We do not need parish councils as well as BCP. That is unnecessary, costly and would lead to certain matters not being considered by either.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B29	Hurn	<p>a, d</p> <p>I disagree. Town and Parish councils should be removed as they add an unnecessary layer of councils for no added benefit.</p> <p>Equivalent roles and clear departmental structures already exist under BCP so these should be given the full remit to improve their own services, with existing precept payments being absorbed into these departmental budgets.</p> <p>Ward councillors already provide the representation to ensure community views and cohesion are considered in council decisions.</p>	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
B30	Hurn	'a', 'd' More layers, more bureaucracy, more delays to implementing any initiative. Why create BCP to remove duplication just to devolve responsibility?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH12 5
B31	Hurn	<p>a,b,c,</p> <p>We Have a Town Council which has council representatives for each area. We do not need more levels of administration but for existing Councillors to do their job and represent their ward to the Council with diligence and hard work on behalf of their electors.</p>	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
B32	Hurn	a,b,c,d,e,f :- The creation of parish councils is adding another layer of bureaucracy to the unitary authority of BCP which the bringing together of the 3 councils said it would avoid. We have local councillors that are elected to represent the views of the area they are elected too, and therefore this additional layer will add authority to those who are elected to represent our areas to the large unitary authority.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B33	Hurn	a,b,c,d,e,f, with the figure of 6 councillors at a ratio of 99:1 that would indicate a population of 600. This parish is not needed at all. It would be very interesting to reveal the work done by these councillors in the past year and what that has cost	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
B34	Hurn	a. This parish council serves no useful purpose.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2
B35	Hurn	a/b/c the Parish Council should be abolished	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
B36	Hurn	Abolish all parish councils across BCP, and certainly do not set up any new ones. Stop wasting council tax funding on additional levels of governance that is not required. What tangible value for money to they actually provide, zero. BCP has a number of problems (poor road infrastructure/congestion, roads full of pot holes, a huge homeless and drug/alcohol abuse problem, not enough public services [schools, hospitals, GPs, NHS dentists] for all the new housing estates that are being built) and BCP council what to use council tax funding which could be directed to those issues, to set up Parish Councils who would more likely be made up of old, straight, white, able bodied men, who do not reflect the diversity of the area. BCP have almost bankrupted themselves, implemented ill thought out Green policies/legislation, so we don't need more of the same.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 8

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B37	Hurn	abolish the council	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
B38	Hurn	<p>All - it is wrong for there to be any additional councils / councillors in any region. The current councillors of BCP have confidently put themselves forward to be voted in to run the local area based on the situation as it currently is / was, so now is the time to step up and put their money where your mouth is and solve the problems without causing more for the local residents. It would be highly inappropriate for this council to move the goalposts half way through their (massively underwhelming) term and create new entities. It's clear that given the appalling behaviour of the controlling alliance, that the move would purely be a vehicle to hack off the services that cannot currently be 'afforded' and all of these white elephants would be passed on to the new 'councils' at which point BCP would claim that they are in a great place whilst the new councils would be failing. I also have no doubt that the councillors and in particular the leader of BCP would constantly throw mud at the new entities and blame them for the inevitable shortcomings. The divisive nature of the Liberal Democrats in particular as well as the rest of the Three Towns Alliance means that nothing positive is ever achieved as they are too busy shirking accountability and blaming everybody else for their many failings. Any new council would also have the partisan issues that plague BCP Council and it isn't right at all.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
B39	Hurn	BCP have more than enough people to not require any further parish employees to run what is a rather small town council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B40	Hurn	By getting rid of hurn you are trying to erase the local history, it shouldn't even be Bournemouth airport as it is in hurn which is part of Christchurch.	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
B41	Hurn	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
B42	Hurn	D-too many layers of governance and increasing cost F - too many for the population	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
B43	Hurn	F) it is cheaper to run with the minimum number of-5	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B44	Hurn	Have not read anything to suggest benefit of parish councils of any kind in current financial environment.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Queen's Park	BH8 9
B45	Hurn	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
B46	Hurn	I disagree with the continuation of current parish councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
B47	Hurn	I disagree with the need for parish and town councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B48	Hurn	I do not agree that any area requires a parish council. I believe that all functions should be carried out by BCP council. Anything more is an unnecessary complication and a waste of money. How are people supposed to know who does what? How can you ensure that the various parish councils act with any consistency?	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Westbourne & West Cliff	BH4 8
B49	Hurn	I do not agree that we should have any town/parish councils. If BCP it too large, revert to 3 separate councils agin.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Parkstone	BH14 8
B50	Hurn	I do not see the need for tiers of councillors	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bearwood & Merley	BH11 9
B51	Hurn	I do not think that Parish Councils are necessary	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B52	Hurn	I do not think that the constituents can afford to pay more than they already are for council tax. In the current economy people are already struggling to pay their overheads let alone fork out more, for what seems to be the same service they already receive, with no monetary cap on what can be charged. It's a terrible idea.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
B53	Hurn	I think purely cross road should be within BCP area or at least Christchurch or Bournemouth	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7
B54	Hurn	It is patently ridiculous that a district of less than 600 people has a parish council. What next, a parish council for my street?	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
B55	Hurn	It's adds an additional unnecessary cost	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B56	Hurn	None	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9
B57	Hurn	Our area doesn't need another level of bureaucracy	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
B58	Hurn	Parish councils are an unnecessary level of governance as the services they will provide are already covered by BCP. If we need Parish councils to have our community listened to, then maybe BCP should be disbanded instead and go back to individual town councils.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4
B59	Hurn	Parish councils are pointless money spending, council tax raising entities	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Commons	BH23 2

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B60	Hurn	Parish councils are superfluous	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
B61	Hurn	Parish Councils generally attract Narcissists and mini Hitlers, who love the power and control, this position gives them in the community. Control over decisions and people that live in these areas. Procedure and following the system is everything, the end result is irrelevant. They have fragile egos and hate criticism. They are in control and you little people must go through me first, in fact, this whole community is under my influence and control.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
B62	Hurn	Parish councils represent needless and unnecessary bureaucracy.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
B63	Hurn	Pointless waste of money and time	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B64	Hurn	Ratio of electors to councillors too low and therefore undemocratic. Unnecessary layer of bureaucracy.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
B65	Hurn	Re a and d - the parish council should be abolished. It is a waste of tax payers money and adds unnecessary bureaucracy. Public funds are right enough and we should be reducing this bureaucracy not maintaining it.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Wallisdown & Winton West	BH9 2
B66	Hurn	Re: paragraph h), there should be zero cllrs.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
B67	Hurn	See comments under Broadstone.	Disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 9

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B68	Hurn	Should be part of an Independent Christchurch Council.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
B69	Hurn	The bureaucracy and cost of additional layers of local government should be avoided wherever possible.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
B70	Hurn	The creation of parish councils will be damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation and corruption, preventing the change the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
B71	Hurn	There is already Councillors at BCP.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B72	Hurn	Too many councillors as it is.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
B73	Hurn	Uncontested elections are deeply undemocratic. It might be more democratic for BCP Council to assume this parish council's functions and for the BCP Council councillors for the ward to represent their residents through BCP Council and its processes.	Disagree	Redhill & Northbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	Redhill & Northbourne	BH10 6
B74	Hurn	Waste id time and money, this is purely an exercise for people whi are not qualified for managing	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
B75	Hurn	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B76	Hurn	We don't need a Parish Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
B77	Hurn	Don't know how much value is added to the community by Parish councils	Don't know/not sure	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1
B78	Hurn	I am not resident there	Don't know/not sure	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
B79	Hurn	It doesn't say how many it has now	Neither agree nor disagree	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8

Q13 – Please tell us the reasons for your answer whether you agree or disagree with the draft recommendations for Hurn

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B80	Hurn	It is not for Electoral Services to comment on these questions	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
B81	Hurn	Parish councils are an unnecessary administrative tier. They result in additional costs - without value for money - for council tax payers.	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
B82	Hurn	The problem with the Draft recommendations are their incredible inconsistency. Why should any comparably sized area be treated differently? Such a situation is inherently unfair and undemocratic. An argument might be made for treating 'rural' areas slightly differently if any can be reasonably defined within BCP but this seems unlikely.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH9 3
B83	Hurn	<p>There is insufficient information to be able to make an informed decision on any of these draft recommendations. There is no indication of what services will be provided via the new parish/town councils. There is no indication of the total costs involved in setting up these parishes/councils, nor of the level of precept, nor of the possibility of precepts being increased well above the capped level of council tax. There is no indication of the need for a clerk to the parish/town council, nor of the salary that this would incur. Whilst the expectation is that parish/town councillors will be appointed on a voluntary basis, there is no means of preventing them from voting to make salary payments out of the precept.</p> <p>Divisions into parish/town councils across the conurbation is likely to increase differences in levels of provision.</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B84	Hurn	Hurn open spaces need full protection from development - the dreadful development at Parley Cross - ugly boxes that no one wants - should be warning enough of what can happen.	Agree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
B85	Hurn	I fully endorse all of the recommendations.	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
B86	Hurn	If the name was changed it would denote that in 2025 it is no longer a village area but part of a wider place	Agree	Highcliffe & Walkford	respondent living outside proposal area	Mudeford, Stanpit & West Highcliffe	BH23 4
B87	Hurn	j	Agree	Postcode Not Matched	respondent living outside proposal area	Postcode Not Matched	
B88	Hurn	No	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH3 7
B89	Hurn	No	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B90	Hurn	No	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
B91	Hurn	The western part of Hurn is now becoming more developed and residents there may relate more to being part of the Parley area instead of Hurn.	Agree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH2 6
B92	Hurn	Things should remain the same	Agree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B93	Hurn	<p>1. Merge Hurn Parish with a Neighbouring Parish Council Integrating Hurn into a neighbouring parish (e.g., Jumpers or Christchurch Town) would eliminate the need for a standalone parish structure, significantly reducing administrative and governance costs while ensuring residents still have access to local representation.</p> <p>2. Create a Joint Parish Committee If full merger is not immediately feasible, establish a shared governance arrangement—a joint parish committee—with neighbouring areas to manage services collaboratively. This would retain some local voice while pooling resources and cutting down duplication.</p> <p>3. Reduce the Number of Councillors The proposal for six councillors is disproportionate for such a small area. Reducing this to a more appropriate number (e.g., two or three) would lower governance costs and better reflect the scale of representation needed.</p> <p>4. Appoint a Shared Parish Clerk and Administrative Support Rather than employing or contracting separate administrative staff for Hurn, share parish clerical and finance functions with another parish council. This approach would cut employment costs and improve consistency in administration.</p> <p>5. Review Local Service Responsibilities Conduct a review of what services (if any) are being uniquely delivered by the Hurn parish council and assess whether these could be delivered more effectively by the unitary authority or through a larger parish structure. This may include things like grounds maintenance, signage, or small grants.</p> <p>6. Introduce a Local Advisory Panel Instead of a Formal Council Replace the formal parish council with an informal advisory group of local residents who could feed community views into a larger neighbouring parish council or the unitary authority. This would retain community input without the overhead of formal governance structures.</p> <p>7. Digital-First Engagement and Operations Encourage use of shared digital platforms for communication, record-keeping, and decision-making. This would reduce paper use, postage, and meeting space hire costs, especially if paired with shared clerk functions.</p> <p>8. Rationalise Precept Funding Merging the precept with a larger parish would create economies of scale and allow for better use of funds—ensuring money is spent on tangible community benefits rather than sustaining a minimal governance framework.</p>	Disagree	Burton & Winkton	respondent living outside proposal area	Burton & Grange	BH23 7
B94	Hurn	A drain on public funding and no substance offered for improved services. Disgusting proposal and massive waste of resources. Secutary of state has been emailed on the fiasco.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 7
B95	Hurn	Abolish it	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Alderney & Bourne Valley	BH12 4

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B96	Hurn	As above	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
B97	Hurn	Believe parish councils should be scrapped, simply another layer of cost and bureaucracy which be served better by centralised service. Also for this local parish representation to head of population is disproportionate to size and other BCP areas	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
B98	Hurn	Disagree with the concept of parish Councils in the BCP area	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Creekmoor	BH17 7
B99	Hurn	Do not need parish councils	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Winton East	BH9 1
B100	Hurn	Don't do it	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Bournemouth Central	BH1 1
B101	Hurn	Hurn should consider cutting back on council tax so as to benefit the residents	Disagree	Christchurch Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Christchurch Town	BH23 1

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B102	Hurn	I am opposed to the introduction of Parish Councils in principle. Parish Councils will exacerbate the older unbearable inequality in the UK and in the BCP conurbation.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
B103	Hurn	I disagree with the continuation of current parish councils within BCP Council and I disagree with the establishment of any new parish councils in BCP. All services and democratic processes should be done through BCP Council and no Council tax precepts should be put in place.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 4
B104	Hurn	I note that elections were contested in 2019 but then not in 2023. this seems to have been the same in other councils: Burton andWinkton, Highcliffe and Walkford, all but one of the Christchurch Wards. I feel strongly that the premise of setting up councils made up from self-proposing volunteers is deeply flawed. Where is the governance and vetting of these probably well meaning but possibly not capable individuals? Alarm bells ring when the initial enthusiasm for putting themselves up to contest an election has disappeared and the council continues with no possible change of personnel? People who put themselves forward may have particular pet interests and may make short term decisions with unforeseen consequences down the line. The whole premise seems to be a way to off load some responsibilities from the council but the approach of getting some members of the community, whose only qualification may be the location of their house, to take these responsibilities on seems very risky.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 3
B105	Hurn	I think throop should go to Bournemouth instead but Christchurch is still a good option for it	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH10 7

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B106	Hurn	Leave things as they are as despite the hollow words from BCP council, this is clearly going to end up costing residents money that simply cannot be afforded in a cost of living crisis. If the current incumbents are unable to work within the constraints as they currently exist, then they should resign en masse and make way for competent people who can. This is nothing but a transparent vehicle to screw the local residents. You say that there will not be any additional cost, but that is a blatant lie. You will bring in a precept to begin with and that will go up and up and up year on year , totally unchecked and the people of the BCP region will be the ones to pay for it. It's unacceptable that you would do something like this without a referendum (which you clearly won't as you already know what the outcome would be and you don't like listening to people who disagree with you). BCP Council is a repugnant organisation, badly run by incompetent councillors with no honesty, integrity or decency. You are an embarrassment to the local people and you should be totally and utterly ashamed of yourselves (but I doubt you have the decency to feel that way either). Shame on you all.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
B107	Hurn	less bureaucracy more action from those already elected	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
B108	Hurn	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	East Cliff & Springbourne	BH1 3
B109	Hurn	No	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
B110	Hurn	No	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Muscliff & Strouden Park	BH8 9

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B111	Hurn	Parish councils totally unnecessary as we already have an amalgamated council with BCP.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 7
B112	Hurn	People are trying to circumvent the elected authorities to suit their own ends	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Moordown	BH9 1
B113	Hurn	Please stop	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Oakdale	BH15 3
B114	Hurn	Scrap it	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Kinson	BH11 9
B115	Hurn	Scrap it.	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9
B116	Hurn	<p>The boundaries of “Poole” council are clear – however the town will be disadvantaged and overwhelmed, if the whole of the town is 1x parish – when the town of Bournemouth is “represented” as 4x separate parishes. Resources for “Poole” (as well as Christchurch) will instead be routed (diverted/stolen) for projects elsewhere. The number of parishes need to be equivalent across the “Three Towns”, based on population, rate-payers, and geography –the cultural and historic value across the towns should be valued for this as well.</p> <p>The Parish boundaries need to be reviewed and corrected, for equal representation for everyone.</p>	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Penn Hill	BH14 9

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B117	Hurn	The creation of parish councils will be damaging for BCP council, enabling greater fragmentation and corruption, preventing the change the area needs.	Disagree	Boscombe & Pokesdown	respondent living outside proposal area	Boscombe West	BH1 4
B118	Hurn	There should be no Parish Councils	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Canford Heath	BH17 9
B119	Hurn	There should be no separate organization outside of BCP Council	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Poole Town	BH15 1
B120	Hurn	Too many councillors for the size of the parish	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6
B121	Hurn	Waste of time and tax payers money	Disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH12 3
B122	Hurn	We either need parish councils or we need BCP. We do not need both.	Disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Littledown & Iford	BH7 6

Q14 – Is there anything else you would like to say about the draft recommendations for Hurn?

Row	proposal area	comment	agree/disagree it should not be abolished	respondent proposal area	respondent from proposal area	respondent ward	respondent postcode
B123	Hurn	We need a bus to Hurn Airport, NOT a Parish Council.	Disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	West Southbourne	BH6 5
B124	Hurn	No	Don't know/not sure	Broadstone	respondent living outside proposal area	Broadstone	BH18 8
B125	Hurn	<p>1. Amending the boundary between polling districts CM1 and CM3/Hurn Parish Council and Christchurch Town Council does not cause any concerns and is supported. There are currently no properties, and the areas are within the same BCP Council ward.</p> <p>2. Amending the boundary to include Wood Farm in the Muscliff and Strouden Park Ward instead of the Commons Ward is supported as it will make administering local and parish elections more straightforward.</p> <p>Whilst the property will still be in a different parliamentary constituency to the rest of the (proposed) ward, there is always going to be a difference at one level of governance. The proposal creates a preferred scenario as local and parish elections are usually scheduled on the same date, and this change will potentially allow the remaining anomaly to be addressed at a future parliamentary boundary review.</p>	Neither agree nor disagree	Southbourne	respondent living outside proposal area	East Southbourne & Tuckton	BH6 4
B126	Hurn	BCP unitary authority, amalgamating Poole, Christchurch and Bournemouth, was supposed to improve transparency, costs and bureaucracy. Creating parish/town councils will be adding another level of unnecessary bureaucracy and cost with no tangible benefit and worse accountability. BCP has just appointed a new CEO; consideration should be given to allowing this person to attempt to improve the current running of the unitary authority before any changes are implemented. No decisions should be made before 2027 and then only following a referendum.	Neither agree nor disagree	Poole Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Newtown & Heatherlands	BH15 3
B127	Hurn	It is not clear where the drivers for this change are. I have read and understand what it proposed but it seems to be that this is not rationalising the status quo, just complicating it further.	Neither agree nor disagree	Bournemouth Town	respondent living outside proposal area	Talbot & Branksome Woods	BH4 9